

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/733,844	12/08/2000	Robert G. Tanner	80398.P405	2035
7590 12/29/2005			EXAMINER	
Robet G. Litts			KARMIS, STEFANOS	
BLAKELY, SO	KOLOFF, TAYLOR & 2	ZAFMAN LLP		
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			3624	
Los Angeles, C	A 90025-1026			

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	09/733,844	TANNER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Stefano Karmis	3624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 O	ctober 2005.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·				
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
	ammer. Note the attached office	7 (culoff of form 1 1 0 1 1 0 2 .			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date July 14, 2005.	4)	(PTO-413)			

DETAILED ACTION

The following communication is in response to Applicant's amendment filed 11 October
 2005.

Status of Claims

2. Claims 1, 9 and 38 are currently amended. Claims 2-8 and 10-37 are originally filed. Therefore claims 1-38 are currently pending.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 11 October 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive as discussed below. Therefore claims 1-38 remain rejected as stated in the previous office action, mailed 06 July 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this

Application/Control Number: 09/733,844

Art Unit: 3624

subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 3

5. Claims 1-6, 9-14, 17, 18, 20-32, and 35-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Perlman, U.S. Patent 6,829,779.

Claims 1-6, 9-14, 17, 18, 20-32, and 35-38 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Perlman as discussed in the previous office action, mailed 06 July 2005. Applicant has amended claim 1 to recite "navigating through the first virtual electronic device on the display to instruct a user how to use a feature of the first electronic device." As discussed in the previous office action, Perlman discloses a user interface for entertainment system setup that navigates the features of particular system components to determine which other components may be connected and the necessary steps for connection (column 4, line 61 thru column 5, line 28). Continuing, Perlman also teaches providing instructions to a user in order to program a remote control so that the consumer can utilize the features of the remote control that allow it to communicate with other components in the setup (column 10, line 50 thru column 11, line 28). Thus the navigation teachings of Perlman allow moving from place to place by having the ability to display various system setups and options for connection. Therefore claim 1 remains rejected and Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Claims 9 and 38 contain similar amendments and therefore are rejected for the same reasoning as claim 1. Remaining claims 2-8 and 10-37 are rejected based on their dependency and as stated in the previous office action. Therefore claims 1-38 are rejected and Applicant's request for allowance is respectfully declined.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stefano Karmis whose telephone number is (571) 272-6744. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (571) 272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully Submitted Stefano Karmis 22 December 2005

> HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER